

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,363	DIEFENBAUGH ET AL.
	Examiner: Antonio A. Caschera	Art Unit 2628

**All Participants:**

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

**Status of Application:** Responding to Afterfinal  
Amendment of 03/27/07

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brent Vecchia.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 April 2007

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

98, 105, 124, 130

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired on performing an Examiner's Amendment to amend a minor informality to claims 98 and 124 (changing the word, "in" to "an" in the "first memory" limitation of the claims) and an antecedent issue with claims 105 and 130 (involving the phrase, "the display device," changing it to "a display device"). Applicant's Representative agreed to such changes, which therefore put the claims in condition for allowance. .